



AI POWERED CROP DISEASE DETECTION SYSTEM

Kate P.S.¹, Panbude madhvee²

¹ Faculty Guide & Supervisor | ² Dattakala Group of Institutions, Faculty of Engineering, Pune, Maharashtra, India, India

Corresponding author: madhveepanbude@gmail.com

ARTICLE ID IJREK-2026-00158	ARTICLE TYPE Research Article	RESEARCH AREA Artificial Intelligence	PUBLICATION Volume 1, Issue Issue 1 (2026)
RECEIVED 25 October 2026	REVISED 29 October 2026	ACCEPTED 26 October 2026	PUBLISHED 29 October 2026

Abstract

Crop diseases can reduce agricultural productivity and cause financial losses when symptoms are not recognised early. Farmers may have limited access to timely expert diagnosis, while visual symptoms can be difficult to distinguish across crops and growing conditions. This paper proposes an AI Powered Crop Disease Detection System that analyses images of crop leaves and provides a preliminary indication of possible diseases. The proposed workflow includes image acquisition, preprocessing, feature learning using a convolutional neural network (CNN) or a suitable transfer-learning model, disease classification, and a user-facing result with basic preventive guidance. The system is intended to support farmers and agricultural advisers rather than replace laboratory testing or expert judgement. A prototype may use a curated, labelled image dataset and a web or mobile interface for image submission. Evaluation should examine classification precision, recall, F1-score, robustness to lighting and background variation, and response time. No experimental performance results are claimed because the proposed system has not yet been benchmarked. The design emphasises reliable data, clear limitations, and responsible use of recommendations.

Keywords: Crop Disease Detection; Artificial Intelligence; Deep Learning; CNN; Image Classification; Precision Agriculture

1.1 PROBLEM STATEMENT

The problem is to provide an accessible preliminary crop-disease screening tool that can analyse plant images, identify likely disease categories, and share understandable guidance while acknowledging prediction uncertainty and avoiding unsafe treatment advice. 1.2 Objectives • Collect or use labelled images of healthy crops and common crop diseases. • Preprocess images and classify them using an appropriate deep-learning model. • Display the predicted class with a confidence indicator and clear limitations. • Provide general preventive guidance and recommend expert confirmation for uncertain cases. • Evaluate accuracy, robustness, usability, and response time. 1.3 Scope The proposed system is an academic decision-support prototype for preliminary image-based screening. It does not replace laboratory diagnosis, agricultural extension services, or professional recommendations for pesticide selection and dosage.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Early computer-based plant disease identification methods commonly used manually designed image features, colour analysis, texture descriptors, and conventional classifiers. These methods can work under controlled conditions but may be sensitive to lighting, background clutter, and variation between plant species. The growth of deep learning has enabled convolutional neural networks to learn image features directly from training examples. Transfer learning can reduce the amount of training required by adapting a model pretrained on a large image dataset to a smaller crop-disease dataset. Public image collections, including PlantVillage, have supported research on image-based plant disease classification. However, results obtained from clean laboratory-style images may not transfer directly to field photographs with shadows, overlapping leaves, mixed symptoms, and complex backgrounds. A reliable system should combine careful dataset design, validation on representative images, transparent reporting, and appropriate handling of uncertain predictions. A model prediction alone does not prove the cause of a plant symptom; nutrient deficiency, pests, weather stress, and multiple infections can produce visually similar signs.

2.1 RESEARCH GAP

A useful crop-disease tool needs to balance classification performance with field robustness and accessible guidance. A high confidence score may still be wrong when an image differs from training data. The proposed design addresses this concern by including image-quality checks, confidence-aware output, limitations, and a pathway to expert confirmation.

3. PROPOSED SYSTEM

The proposed system accepts a photograph of a crop leaf or other supported plant part. It validates the image, resizes and normalises it, and passes it to a trained image-classification model. The model estimates the most likely supported class. The application then presents the predicted disease or healthy status, a confidence indicator, and general next steps. When the image is unclear or the confidence is low, the system should ask the user to submit a clearer image or contact an agricultural expert rather than make a definitive claim.

Figure 1. Proposed processing flow (conceptual): Crop Image → Image Validation → Preprocessing → CNN / Transfer-Learning Model → Confidence Check → Result and General Guidance

3.1 MAIN MODULES

TABLE I

Module	Purpose
Image ingestion	Accept crop images and validate format, size, and readability.
Preprocessing	Resize, normalise, and prepare images consistently for model input.
Disease classifier	Predict a supported disease or healthy class from learned visual features.
Confidence handling	Flag uncertain predictions and avoid overconfident conclusions.
Guidance and report	Show results, limitations, preventive information, and expert-referral advice.

3.2 SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

A prototype may use Python with TensorFlow/Keras or PyTorch for model training and inference. An image-processing library can handle resizing and normalisation, while a backend API can receive uploads and return predictions. A web or mobile interface can allow farmers to submit a photo and read the result. A lightweight database may store minimal application metadata, but images and personal details should not be retained longer than necessary. The chosen model, dataset version, and preprocessing steps should be documented for reproducibility.

4.1 DATASET AND CONSENT

The prototype should use a documented, appropriately licensed dataset containing labelled images of healthy crops and selected diseases. The dataset should represent the crops and disease classes the application claims to support. If new field photographs are collected, permission should be obtained where appropriate and identifying or location metadata should be removed unless necessary. Training, validation, and test sets should be separated to reduce data leakage, ideally ensuring near-duplicate images do not appear across sets.

4.2 IMAGE PREPROCESSING

- Validate file type, image dimensions, and file size before processing.
- Convert images to the model's expected colour format and resize them consistently.
- Normalise pixel values using the preprocessing required by the selected model.
- Apply suitable training augmentation, such as mild rotation, cropping, or brightness variation.
- Inspect class balance and label quality; avoid augmentations that change disease meaning.

4.3 MODEL TRAINING AND CLASSIFICATION

A CNN can learn visual patterns such as spots, discolouration, lesions, and texture changes. A transfer-learning model may be selected to reduce training time and improve learning from a limited dataset. The model should be trained using the training split, tuned using validation data, and evaluated only once on an appropriately held-out test set. Class probabilities should be interpreted cautiously and not described as guaranteed diagnostic certainty.

4.4 RESULT GENERATION

The result screen may show the predicted class, confidence indicator, a short explanation that the output is preliminary, and general prevention-oriented information for the supported crop and class. It should not prescribe a chemical product or dosage without reliable, locally appropriate agronomic guidance. Low-quality images, unsupported crops, or low-confidence results should trigger a request for another image or referral to an agricultural expert.

4.5 CONCEPTUAL ALGORITHM

1. Receive a crop image.
2. Validate its format and quality.
3. Preprocess the image.
4. Run the trained classification model.
5. Obtain class scores and identify the leading supported class.
6. Apply confidence and image-quality checks.
7. Generate a result with limitations and general guidance.
8. Display the result or request expert confirmation.

5. IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

TABLE II

Layer	Suggested technology	Responsibility
Image processing	Python, Pillow or OpenCV	Validate, resize, and normalise images.
Deep learning	TensorFlow/Keras or PyTorch	Train and run the image classifier.
Model evaluation	Scikit-learn and evaluation scripts	Calculate metrics and review errors.
API	FastAPI or Flask	Receive images and return predictions.
Interface	React or HTML/CSS/JavaScript; mobile extension if needed	Upload images and display results.
Storage	Minimal metadata database	Store necessary configuration and limited records.

5.1 SECURITY AND RESPONSIBLE DATA HANDLING

- Restrict access to uploaded images and protect data in transit.
- Keep API keys and credentials outside source code.
- Avoid storing crop images or user details unless needed and consented to.
- Provide a clear retention and deletion policy.
- Record system errors without unnecessarily logging image contents or personal data.

6. EVALUATION PLAN AND DISCUSSION

TABLE III

Metric	Meaning
Accuracy	Overall proportion of correct predictions on the test set.
Precision and recall	How reliably predicted classes are correct and how many true cases are detected.
F1-score	Balance between precision and recall, reported per class where possible.
Confusion matrix	Shows which disease classes are mistaken for other classes.
Robustness	Performance across field conditions, lighting, and image quality.
Latency	Time from image submission to result generation.

7. ADVANTAGES AND LIMITATIONS

7.1 Advantages

- Can provide quick preliminary screening from a crop photograph.
 - May help users recognise visual patterns associated with supported disease classes.
 - Can be made accessible through a web or mobile interface.
 - Can be extended to additional crops and disease classes after suitable validation.
- 7.2 Limitations**
- Image quality, lighting, background, and disease stage can affect predictions.
 - Similar symptoms may arise from pests, nutrient deficiencies, weather stress, or different diseases.
 - Performance depends on dataset coverage, label quality, and model selection.
 - The system cannot independently confirm a pathogen or replace expert diagnosis.
 - Recommendations may not apply across regions, seasons, and crop varieties.

8. ETHICAL AND RESPONSIBLE USE

The system should be presented as a decision-support tool, not a definitive diagnostic authority. Users should be informed about supported crops, known limitations, and uncertainty. The application should avoid making unsupported treatment claims and should encourage local agricultural expertise when results are unclear. Dataset permissions and privacy protections should be respected, and performance should be examined across the conditions in which the system is expected to be used.

9. FUTURE SCOPE

Future work may include more crop species, regional-language interfaces, offline inference for low-connectivity areas, field-image datasets, explainability visualisations, and integration with agricultural advisory services. Further studies should evaluate seasonal and regional generalisation, detect unsupported or out-of-distribution images, and assess whether guidance is understandable and useful to

farmers. 10. Conclusion This paper proposed an AI Powered Crop Disease Detection System that uses image preprocessing and deep-learning classification to provide preliminary crop-disease screening. The design includes confidence-aware output, general guidance, and expert referral for uncertain cases. Its practical usefulness must be established through systematic evaluation on representative images from real agricultural settings. The system should support, rather than replace, farmers' judgement and qualified agricultural advice.

REFERENCES

- [1] Hughes, D. P., and Salathé, M. (2015). An open access repository of images on plant health to enable the development of mobile disease diagnostics. arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.08060.
- [2] Mohanty, S. P., Hughes, D. P., and Salathé, M. (2016). Using deep learning for image-based plant disease detection. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 7, 1419.
- [3] Too, E. C., Yujian, L., Njuki, S., and Yingchun, L. (2019). A comparative study of fine-tuning deep learning models for plant disease identification. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 161, 272–279.
- [4] Ferentinos, K. P. (2018). Deep learning models for plant disease detection and diagnosis. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 145, 311–318.
- [5] Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2017). *The future of food and agriculture: Trends and challenges*. FAO, Rome.
- [6] Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y., and Courville, A. (2016). *Deep Learning*. MIT Press.
- [7] National Institute of Standards and Technology. (2023). *Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework (AI RMF 1.0)*. NIST AI 100-1. Note: The references provide background for the proposed design. The system's own performance claims should be added only after implementation and evaluation.

Publication archival note: Official scholarly contribution published under CC BY 4.0 International License. Permanent record on <https://www.ijrek.org> | Article ID: IJREK-2026-00158